## DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

# RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

#### Current Human Exposures Under Control

-	Name: Address: EPA 1D #:	APPROVED OIL MILT ADAMS, INCORPORATED 5390 E. 72ND, COMMERCE CITY, CO 80022 CODOLOGRAPORATED						
1.	Waste Manageme	relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, face water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid ent Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been s El determination?						
		_ If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.						
		If no - re-evaluate existing data, or						
code.		if data are not available skip to #6 and enter"IN" (more information needed) status						

#### **BACKGROUND**

# Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two El developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An El for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

### Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" El

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" El determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

#### Relationship of El to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the El are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" El are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land-or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

## **Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations**

El Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e.,

#### Current Human Exposures Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) Page 2

2.	"contaminated" above a	appropriately pro ie standards, gui	tective ri delines, į	air media known or reasonably suspected to be isk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA				
	Groundwater Air (indoors) <sup>2</sup> Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft) Surface Water Sediment Subsurf. Soil (e.g., >2 ft) Air (outdoors)		<u>:</u> = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	Rationale / Key Contaminants  BTEX PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS  NOT APPLICABLE  BTEX PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS  NOT APPLICABLE  NOT APPLICABLE  BTEX PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS  NOT APPLICABLE				
	appropr demons	iate "levels," and trating that these	d referen e "levels'	and enter "YE," status code after providing or citing cing sufficient supporting documentation are not exceeded.				
	"contan determi support	ninated" medium nation that the ming documentation own (for any me	n, citing and control of the control	after identifying key contaminants in each appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the ould pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing  X/PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS ARE NTAMINANTS OF CONCERN ip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.				
	- KNOWN BTEX/PET	Rationale and Reference(s):  KNOWN BTEX PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS CONTAMINATION IN SURFACE SUBSURFACE SOILS AND GROUNDWATER. NO PROXIMATE SURFACE WATER						
	SUBSURFACE SOL							
	SEDIMENT,							
-	SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION REFERENCES:							
	a) START - ANALYTICAL RESULTS REPORT FOR FOCUSED							
	SITE INSP	ECTION, DI	ATED	MARCH 23, 2000.				
	b) RISK ASSESSMENT FOR APPROVED OIL SERVICE,							
	DATED JANUARY 1998							
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Footn	otes:							

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged

to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

# Current Human Exposures Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) Page 3

3. Are there complete pathways between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

#### Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

#### Potential Human Receptors (Under Current Conditions)

"Contaminated" Media Groundwater	Residents NO	Workers	Day-Care NO	Construction NO	Trespassers NO	Recreation NO	Food <sup>3</sup>
Air (indoors)							
Soil (surface, e.g., <2 ft)	<u>N0</u>		NO	70	NO	<u>N0</u>	=
Surface Water	No		NO	NO	NO	No	
Sediment	NO		NO	NO	NO	NO	-
Soil (subsurface e.g., >2 ft Air (outdoors)	<u>— No</u>		<u></u>	<u> </u>	<u>— 40</u> —	<u>No</u>	=

Instructions for Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table:

- 1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not "contaminated") as identified in #2 above.
- 2. enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media Human Receptor combination (Pathway).

Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces ("\_\_\_"). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary.

If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) -

	skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in-place, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional <u>Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet</u> to analyze major pathways).
<u></u>	If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation.
	If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code
Rationale and I	Reference(s):
	KNOWN SURFACE SUBSURFACE SOILS CONTAMINATION EXISTS
CBTEX / P	ETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS) THE FACILITY IS CLOSED (NO
WORKERS	AND SECURE ( SITEWIDE FENCED / PADLOCKED).

# - ALTHOUGH KNOWN GROUNDWATER CONTAMINATION EXISTS, NO COMPLETED PATHWAYS HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME.

<sup>3</sup> Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

	Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) Page 4
	I ugo 4
"significant greater in macceptable" (perhaps eve	osures from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be "4 (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) agnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the levels" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnituden though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the levels") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?
	If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" stated code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the
	remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expecte be "significant."
	be "significant."
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	be "significant."  If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code
Rationale ar	be "significant."
Rationale ar	be "significant."  If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code
Rationale ar	be "significant."  If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code
Rationale ar	be "significant."  If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code  and Reference(s):
Rationale ar	be "significant."  If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code
Rationale ar	be "significant."  If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code  and Reference(s):
Rationale ar	be "significant."  If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code  and Reference(s):
Rationale ar	be "significant."  If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code  and Reference(s):
Rationale ar	be "significant."  If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code  and Reference(s):
Rationale ar	be "significant."  If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code  and Reference(s):
Rationale ar	be "significant."  If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code  and Reference(s):
Rationale ar	be "significant."  If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code  and Reference(s):
Rationale ar	be "significant."  If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code  and Reference(s):
Rationale ar	be "significant."  If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code  and Reference(s):
Rationale ar	be "significant."  If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code  and Reference(s):
Rationale ar	be "significant."  If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code  and Reference(s):
Rationale ar	be "significant."  If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code  and Reference(s):
Rationale ar	be "significant."  If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code  and Reference(s):

# Current Human Exposures Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) Page 5

Can the "significant" exposures (identified in #4) be shown to be within acceptable limits?
If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing and referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).
lf no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable")- continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.
If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter "IN" status code

#### Current Human Exposures Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) Page 6

5.	Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control El event code (CA725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the El determination below (and attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility):
	YE - Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this El Determination, "Current Human Exposures" are expected to be "Under Control" at the APPR OVED OIL MILT ADAMS, INCORPORATED facility, EPA ID # CODOLOZ726Z, located at 5390 E. 72ND under current and reasonably expected conditions. This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency/State becomes aware of significant changes at the facility. (BASED PREDOMINATELY ON SITE SECURITY AND LIMITED REMOVAL).
	NO - "Current Human Exposures" are NOT "Under Control."
	IN - More information is needed to make a determination.
	Completed by (signature) Randy Lamdin Date 7/13/04 (print) RANDY LAMDIN (title) EPS
	Supervisor (signature) faul Arel Date 7 16 01  (print) PAUL S. ARELL  (title) MANAGER, CORRECTIVE ACTION UNIT
	(EPA Region or State) EPA REGION VIII
	Locations where References may be found:
	RCRA FACILITY FILE AT EPA REGION YILL
	HAZARDOUS WASTE FACILITY FILE AT COPHE
	REGION VIII SUPERFUND RECORD CENTER
	Contact telephone and e-mail numbers
	(name) RANDY LAMDIN
	(phone #) (303) 312-6350
	(e-mail) lamdin. randy @ epa. gov

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES EI IS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS.FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.